



NOVA

University of Newcastle Research Online

nova.newcastle.edu.au

Lee, Y. Q.; Collins, C. E.; Gordon, A.; Rae, K. M. & Pringle, K. G. "Disparities exist between the dietary intake of Indigenous Australian women during pregnancy and the Australian dietary guidelines: the Gomeri gaaynggal study" Published in the *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics*, Vol. 31, Issue 4, pp. 473-485, (2018).

Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jhn.12550>

This is the peer reviewed version of above article, which has been published in final form at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jhn.12550>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

Accessed from: <http://hdl.handle.net/1959.13/1404095>

Disparities Exist between the Dietary Intake of Indigenous Australian Women during Pregnancy and the Australian Dietary Guidelines: the *Gomeroi gaaynggal* Study

Abstract:

Background: Little is known about the adequacy of nutrient intakes and the overall diet quality of Indigenous Australian pregnant women. The aim of this cross-sectional study was to assess nutrient sufficiency and diet quality, measured using the Australian Recommended Food Score (ARFS), in pregnant women from the Gomeroi gaaynggal cohort (n=58).

Methods: Maternal dietary intake during pregnancy was assessed using the Australian Eating Survey (AES) Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ), which was self-administered in the 3rd trimester. Diet quality was determined using the ARFS. Food group servings and nutrient intakes were compared to the Australian Guide to Health Eating (AGHE) and Australian Nutrient Reference Values (NRVs). The current analysis examined the adequacy of usual intakes from food sources only, excluding supplements.

Results: None of the women met all AGHE daily food group serving recommendations. The highest alignment rates were for dairy (33%), meat/alternatives (31%) and vegetables (29.3%). Almost 93% of participants exceeded the recommended intake of energy-dense, nutrient-poor foods and % energy from saturated fat was high (15%). Of the five key nutrients for optimal reproductive health (folate, iron, calcium, zinc and fibre), the nutrients with the highest percentage of pregnant women achieving the NRVs were zinc (77.6%) and folate (68.9%) while iron was the lowest. Only one person achieved all NRVs (folate, iron, calcium, zinc and fibre) important in pregnancy. The median ARFS was 28 points (max 73).

Conclusions: Although the small cohort limits the generalisability of the findings, it provides some support to indicate that the diets of these Indigenous pregnant women are inadequate. Therefore, strategies to optimise nutrient intakes of Indigenous pregnant women are needed urgently.

Keywords: Maternal nutrition, pregnancy diet, Indigenous, diet quality

Background

The ‘Developmental Origins of Health and Disease’ (DoHAD) hypothesis has led to recognition of optimal maternal nutrition during preconception and pregnancy as being of upmost importance for the mother and developing fetus ^(1,2). Current evidence indicates that pregnancy nutrition influences pregnancy outcomes and also has long-term consequences for offspring health in both childhood and adulthood ^(3,4). *In utero* metabolic insults from the maternal environment (e.g. poor nutrition, obesity or diabetes) have been implicated in increasing offspring susceptibility to chronic disease including cardiovascular disease (CVD), end-stage renal disease (ESRD), obesity and type 2 diabetes later in life ⁽⁵⁾. Poor maternal nutrition during pregnancy has been associated with preterm birth, intrauterine growth restriction and low birth weight (<2,500 grams) ^(6,7). Nutrient deficiencies, particularly iron and folate, have been linked to congenital anomalies and birth defects ⁽⁸⁾.

Evidence suggests that having dietary patterns that align with national dietary recommendations lowers the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes, including unhealthy gestational weight gain ⁽⁹⁾, gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) ⁽¹⁰⁾, iron-deficiency anaemia ⁽¹¹⁾, preeclampsia ^(12,13), small-for-gestational-age (SGA) ⁽¹⁴⁾ and fetal death ^(12,15). It is therefore concerning that poor adherence to dietary guidelines during pregnancy has been consistently reported in countries such as Australia ⁽¹⁶⁻¹⁸⁾, New Zealand ⁽¹⁹⁾, the UK ^(20,21), the USA ⁽²²⁾, Canada ^(23,24) and China ⁽²⁵⁾. Blumfield *et al.* systematically reviewed macronutrient and micronutrient intakes during pregnancy in developed countries ^(26,27) and found that folate, iron, calcium and vitamin D intakes were consistently below the national nutrient recommendations in USA, Canada, UK, Europe, Australia, New Zealand, and Japan ⁽²⁶⁾. Additionally, Blumfield *et al.* found that macronutrient intakes of pregnant women from developed countries were not in accordance with country-specific recommendations ⁽²⁷⁾. Carbohydrate, polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) and fibre intakes were lower than recommended while total fat and saturated fat intakes exceeded recommendations ⁽²⁷⁾.

In Australia, there are two cohort studies investigating the dietary pattern of pregnant women. In 1996, the Australian Longitudinal study on Women’s Health (ALSWH) recruited 40,000 women in three age cohorts of ‘young’ (18-23 years), ‘mid-aged’ (45-50 years) and ‘older’ (70-75 years) women ⁽²⁸⁾. This is the first cohort study to obtain comprehensive dietary intake data in a nationally representative sample of Australian women, across the various age ranges ⁽²⁸⁾. In an analysis of the dietary intake of 7486 women, Hure *et al.* found that dietary fibre, folate and vitamin E intake were consistently below Nutrient Reference Values (NRVs) in this cohort, which included pregnant women (n=606) ⁽²⁸⁾. Similar results were found in 179 pregnant women from the Women and Their Children’s Health (WATCH) Study, a prospective longitudinal cohort based in Newcastle, Australia

⁽²⁹⁾. To the best of our knowledge, no Australian Indigenous cohort studies have investigated nutritional adequacy of maternal dietary intakes during pregnancy.

Diet quality refers to the concept of nutritional adequacy due to food variety within core, nutrient-dense food groups ⁽³⁰⁾. Diet quality scores assess how closely eating patterns align with national dietary guidelines and summarise intake into a single numeric variable ⁽³⁰⁾. Higher scores are assigned to each sub-scale if there are frequent, diverse or optimal intakes of food, nutrients or both ⁽³⁰⁾. Diet quality scores have been used to assess diet adequacy in pregnant women ^(23,31). The Australian Recommended Food Score (ARFS) has been used to evaluate overall diet quality and demonstrates comparative validity in Australian women ⁽²⁸⁾ and adults ⁽³⁰⁾. The ARFS has been used to previously measure overall diet quality within the ALSWH cohort of young Australian women aged 25 to 30 years, including pregnant women (n=606) ⁽²⁸⁾. However, Indigenous Australian women were not included in these analyses ⁽²⁸⁾.

The Indigenous peoples of Australia are one of the most socially disadvantaged populations in the country. They continue to experience poorer health and have a lower life expectancy than non-Indigenous people due to generations of social and economic disadvantage and food insecurity ⁽³²⁾. Indigenous communities face many structural barriers to healthy eating, including limited access to fresh, nutritious food due to geographical and economic constraints, and poor knowledge in regard to purchasing and cooking nutritious meals ⁽³³⁾. Findings from a recent systematic review ⁽³⁴⁾ of the available data on the dietary intake of the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander (Indigenous) peoples in Australia are consistent with the recent 2012-2013 National Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Survey (NATSIHS) ⁽³³⁾, which identified that this population group in general consume low intakes of the five core food groups and high intakes of total sugars and energy-dense, nutrient poor food and beverages.

There are several programs around Australia which aim to promote improvement in the health of Indigenous women and their babies. Two such examples in the Northern Territory are the Aboriginal Birth Cohort Study (ABC) and the Strong Women, Strong Babies. Strong Culture Program. However, to date, to the best of our knowledge, no previously published studies have examined the dietary intake of Indigenous Australian women during pregnancy and have reported on their adherence to the current Australian dietary guidelines. Furthermore, no studies have reported on the diet quality of pregnant Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander (Indigenous) Australian women. This is pertinent given the association between poor diet quality and the increase odds of developing gestational hypertension ⁽³⁵⁾, gestational diabetes ⁽³⁶⁾, delivering a child of low birth weight ⁽³⁵⁾ or preterm birth

(37). Maternal poor nutrition during pregnancy is recognised as a significant modifiable determinant in the development of chronic disease in offspring in later life (38). Therefore, research in this area is an important priority in addressing the health and well-being of future generations of Indigenous Australians. Therefore, the objectives of the current study were: (i) to investigate whether the usual dietary intakes of pregnant Indigenous Australian women from the *Gomeroi gaaynggal* cohort align with national food group recommendations; (ii) to describe the nutritional adequacy of dietary intake of nutrients important for pregnancy; (iii) to describe overall diet quality.

112 **Methods**

113 ***Study Design***

114 The Gomeroi gaaynggal study is a prospective longitudinal cohort of Indigenous Australian mother-
115 child dyads followed from pregnancy, through the postnatal period and up until the children are five
116 years of age. Further details of the Gomeroi gaaynggal study have been published elsewhere (39).
117 Recruitment began in 2010 and is still ongoing at the time of publication. Pregnant Indigenous women
118 are recruited by Indigenous research assistants at the antenatal services who explain the study and
119 obtain written consent. Pregnant women who identify as Indigenous Australians, or pregnant non-
120 Indigenous women with Indigenous partners are eligible to participate and can enrol at any stage in
121 their pregnancy. This research study occurs in conjunction with the Gomeroi gaaynggal ArtsHealth
122 program, which aims to engage Indigenous mothers in health promotion activities conducted by
123 health professionals, including dietitians, in a culturally appropriate environment. Ethical approval
124 for the study was obtained from the following committees: Hunter New England Human Research
125 Ethics Committee (HNEHREC No. 08/05/21/4.01); the New South Wales Human Research Ethics
126 Committee (NSW HREC HREC/08/HNE/129); and the Aboriginal Health and Medical Research
127 Council Human Research Ethics Committee (AHMRC HREC 654/08).

129 ***Maternal Characteristics***

130 Information on pre-pregnancy weight and height, smoking, maternal education, and employment
131 were collected via questionnaires during the first visit. Maternal education was categorized as high
132 (tertiary education) or low (high school/high-school certificate or less than high school). Maternal
133 body mass index (BMI) was calculated from measured height (ht) and self-reported pre-pregnancy
134 weight (wt) at first visit during pregnancy [wt(kg)/ht(m²)]. BMI was categorized as underweight
135 (BMI <18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (BMI 18.5-24.9 kg/m²), overweight (BMI 25.0-29.9 kg/m²) or
136 obese (BMI ≥30.0 kg/m²) (40). Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm and weight to the nearest
137 0.1 kg. For participants who could not recall their pre-pregnancy weight, their pre-pregnancy BMI
138 was calculated using weight measured at less than 12 weeks gestation.

139

140 ***Nutrition Assessment: Australian Eating Survey Food Frequency Questionnaire (AES FFQ)***

141 During the third trimester, maternal dietary intake was assessed using the Australian Eating Survey
142 Food Frequency Questionnaire (AES FFQ) ⁽⁴¹⁾. The AES FFQ is a self-administered 120-item semi-
143 quantitative FFQ that asks respondents to report usual dietary intake over the previous 6 months ⁽⁴¹⁾.
144 This survey includes 15 supplementary questions on age, use of vitamin supplements, food
145 behaviours and sedentary behaviours. The response for each question is a frequency with options
146 ranging from “never” to “≥7 times per day”. Standard portion sizes were determined for each food
147 item using data derived from the most current National Nutrition Survey ⁽⁴²⁾. An example of a
148 ‘natural’ serving size for standard items would be a slice of bread. It contains a comprehensive food
149 list to enable estimation and ranking of usual macronutrient and micronutrient intakes ⁽⁴¹⁾. Nutrient
150 intakes from the FFQ were computed from the AUSNUT 2011–13 database (all foods). This survey
151 has been shown to provide a valid and reliable estimate of usual dietary intake of Australian adults
152 (median age: males 44.9 years, females 41.3 years) over the previous six months ⁽⁴¹⁾. Further details
153 of the AES FFQ have been published elsewhere ⁽⁴¹⁾.

154

155 ***Australian Recommended Food Score (ARFS)***

156 Diet quality scores were calculated using the Australian Recommended Food Score (ARFS) ⁽³⁰⁾,
157 which utilises a sub-set of 70 AES FFQ questions where regular consumption of the item aligns with
158 recommendations in the 2013 Australian Dietary Guidelines and is described in detail elsewhere ⁽³⁰⁾.
159 The ARFS has a total possible score between the range of zero and 73. This includes eight food group
160 subscales: vegetables (0–21), fruit (0–12), meat (0–7), meat alternatives (0–6), grain (0–13), dairy
161 (0–11), water (0–1) and condiments (0–2). Briefly, points are awarded for food consumed according
162 to frequency of consumption, with healthy foods receiving bonus points (e.g. 2 points for ≥5 nights
163 per week meals with vegetables, 2 points if usual bread choice is multigrain or wholemeal, 2 points
164 if usual type of milk is reduced fat milk or skim milk or soy milk). A maximum number of points are
165 awarded to food group subscales, which include foods with high saturated fat content. The meat
166 protein group is limited to a maximum of seven points, and the dairy group is limited to a maximum
167 of 11 points. Discretionary foods do not contribute to the calculation of the diet quality score. The
168 ARFS score was calculated by adding the points for each item. A higher score indicates greater
169 alignment with the Australian National Dietary Guidelines ⁽⁴³⁾.

170

171 ***Australian Guide to Healthy Eating***

172 Australia's national food selection guide, the Australian Guide to Healthy Eating (AGHE) ⁽⁴⁴⁾, was
173 updated in 2013 and gives a suggested number of daily serves of key food groups to aim for age and
174 sex based nutrient intake recommendations. It visually represents the proportion of the five core food
175 groups recommended for daily consumption to optimise nutrient intakes, in proportions that are
176 consistent with the Dietary Guidelines for Australians ⁽⁴³⁾. The core food groups include
177 breads/cereals (grains), lean meat and vegetarian alternatives (including eggs, nuts and legumes),
178 vegetables (including legumes), fruit and dairy ⁽⁴⁴⁾. Non-core or discretionary foods are energy-dense,
179 nutrient-poor or 'junk' foods that are not a necessary part of a healthy diet and are recommended to
180 be consumed in limited amounts and less frequently than core foods ⁽⁴⁴⁾. Recommended servings for
181 each food group have been developed for different population subgroups, including pregnant women,
182 based on meeting nutrient targets ⁽⁴⁵⁾. Table 1 highlights the AGHE recommended daily servings for
183 pregnant women.

184

185 *Nutrient Reference Values*

186 The 2006 National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia Nutrient Reference Values
187 (NRVs) recommend specific daily nutrient intake targets to optimise health and/or avoid nutritional
188 deficiency for different life stages, including pregnancy ⁽⁴⁶⁾. The estimated average requirement
189 (EAR) and adequate intake (AI) are the most appropriate NRVs for comparison with population group
190 intakes and the focus of this paper ⁽⁴⁶⁾. The EAR is the daily nutrient level estimated to meet the
191 requirements of half the healthy individuals in a particular life stage and gender group. When an EAR
192 is unable to be determined, an AI is used instead, which represents the average daily nutrient intake
193 level that is assumed to be adequate, based on observed or experimentally determined nutrient intake
194 estimates by a group of apparently healthy people ⁽⁴⁶⁾. Recommendations for the extra energy required
195 during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy have been developed, with an additional
196 1.4MJ/day and 1.9MJ/day suggested, respectively ⁽⁴⁶⁾. Subjects were excluded from these analyses if
197 their calculated energy intake was <4.5 or >20.0 MJ/day ⁽⁴⁷⁾. Energy values outside this range were
198 considered biologically improbable and indicative of a large degree of misreporting ⁽⁴⁷⁾. Niacin
199 intakes and requirements are expressed as niacin equivalents where 1 mg niacin equivalent is equal
200 to 1mg niacin or 60 mg tryptophan ⁽⁴⁶⁾. As the bioavailability of folate in food and that of the folic
201 acid used to fortify foods or as a supplements differs, 50-60% and 85% respectively, the term dietary
202 folate equivalents has been used to accommodate the varying bioavailabilities ⁽⁴⁶⁾. Retinol equivalents
203 comprise of retinol + (Beta-carotene/6) + (alpha-carotene/12) + (cryptoxanthin/12) ⁽⁴⁶⁾.

204

205 *Statistical Analysis*

206 The main outcome measures included food group intake in grams (g), daily servings and proportion
207 meeting AGHE recommendations. Emphasis was placed on the intake and the proportion of
208 participants meeting the EAR for folate, iron, calcium, zinc and AI for fibre due to their role in
209 enhancing reproductive health and well-being of the mother and her growing fetus. Daily food group
210 servings were calculated using the AGHE portion sizes described in the AGHE. The total number of
211 daily food group servings were then compared with the minimum number of AGHE servings
212 recommended for pregnant women aged 19 to 50 years. Data were tested for normality, with normally
213 distributed data reported as mean (95% CI) and non-normal data reported as median [IQR]. All data
214 manipulation and statistical analyses were performed using Intercooled Stata 14 (Stata, College
215 Station, Texas, USA).

216

217 **Results**

218 Table 2 summarises the baseline characteristics of women included in the analysis. Of the 67 women,
219 multiple births (n=1) and implausible total daily energy intakes (n=8) were excluded for a final
220 analytic sample size of 58. The mean gestation at the time of the survey was 35.6 ± 2.1 weeks (mean
221 \pm SD). The mean age of pregnant women was 25.6 ± 6.7 years (mean \pm SD), and 10.3% (n=6/58) of
222 participants were ≤ 18 years of age. The majority of the women had a high school education or less
223 (77.4%). Almost two-thirds (60.8%) reported being overweight/obese before pregnancy (mean \pm SD:
224 33.25 ± 6.39 kg/m²). Smoking during pregnancy was reported by 24.1% of study participants, below
225 the national smoking rate of 39% for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander (Indigenous) mothers ⁽⁴⁸⁾.

226

227 Table 1 lists the portion sizes for each FFQ category used to calculate each food group serving size.
228 Table 3 summarises mean daily food group intakes in grams, the daily food group servings and the
229 percentage of women achieving the recommended number of AGHE daily food group servings. This
230 highlights that none of the women achieved the AGHE recommendation for all food groups. Highest
231 alignment rates [proportion, median (IQR) servings/day] were for dairy [32.8%, 1.5(1.0-2.9)],
232 meat/alternatives [31%, 2.7(1.8-3.6)] and vegetable [29.3%, 3.4(2.1-5.1)] groups. The recommended
233 number of daily servings of bread/cereals and fruits were achieved by only 3.5% (n=2/58) and 27.6%
234 (n=16/58) of the cohort respectively. The median [IQR] number of daily servings of energy-dense,
235 nutrient-poor foods was 6.1 [4.1-8.3] servings/day, compared to the recommended maximum of 2.5
236 servings/day. Overall, 34.5% (n=20/58) met one, 31.0% (n=18/58) met two, 6.9% (n=4/58) met three
237 and 3.5% (n=2/58) met four daily food group serving recommendations.

238

239 Table 4 summarises the participants' dietary intakes of selected nutrients, including energy,
240 macronutrients, selected micronutrients and comparisons with NRVs. Intakes of nutrients were above

the estimated pregnancy EAR or AI for protein, calcium, zinc, thiamin, riboflavin, niacin, vitamin C, potassium, magnesium, phosphorus. Of the five nutrients considered most important for optimal reproductive health (folate, iron, calcium, zinc and fibre), the nutrients with the highest number of women meeting the recommendations were zinc (77.6%; n=45/58) and folate (68.9%; n=40/58). Almost half of the women achieved the calcium recommendation, followed by fibre, while iron had the lowest adherence rate as only one woman had a usual intake above the EAR. Only one participant's intake met all pregnancy NRVs (folate, iron, calcium, zinc, fibre). The median vitamin A (retinol equivalents) intake was twice the EAR although no participant had an intake above the upper limit of 3000 µg/day. The median sodium intake was twice the upper end level of estimated AI. The median percentage of energy from saturated fat was 15%, higher than the recommended maximum of 10% ⁽⁴⁶⁾.

252

Total ARFS and sub-scale diet quality scores are summarised in Table 5. The vegetable subscale was the most highly scored group relative to the total number of points available in each component. Within the protein food subscale, the vegetarian foods subscale (including nut/bean/soya/egg) scored the lowest, with a median of one point scored out of the maximum six points. Meat and grain subscales were also low relative to the other food groups.

258

259 **Discussion**

There is a growing body of evidence indicating that maternal dietary intake during pregnancy is a powerful determinant of offspring health and lifetime susceptibility to chronic disease such as diabetes, obesity and cancer ^(3,4). Furthermore, poor food patterns and diet quality during pregnancy can exacerbate adverse maternal health outcomes by contributing to excessive gestational weight gain ⁽⁴⁹⁾ and post-partum weight retention ⁽⁵⁰⁾. Identifying the aspects of maternal diet and nutrient intakes during pregnancy that differ to national recommendations may assist with development of targeted nutrition interventions and inform public health policies seeking to optimise maternal nutrition. While Ashman *et al.* have previously investigated the dietary intakes of Indigenous Australian women and their infants ⁽⁵¹⁾, the current study evaluates the dietary pattern of Indigenous Australian women during pregnancy from the Gomeroi gaaynggal cohort, relative to national recommendations. Overall, the current analysis has identified that pregnant Indigenous women do not meet the target AGHE daily food group servings recommended for pregnancy, nor key micronutrient intake targets important for perinatal and long-term child health. Key findings indicate suboptimal diet quality, high intakes of saturated fat and sodium, and sub-optimal intakes of iron, folate, fibre and core food groups. These findings suggest that targeted interventions and support are needed to assist pregnant women in optimising dietary intakes.

277 In the Gomeroi gaaynggal cohort, no pregnant women achieved the recommended number of daily
 278 servings for all five food groups. This is consistent with the findings from another nationally
 279 representative study⁽¹⁶⁾ that compared the diets of Australian pregnant women (n=857) to the current
 280 national dietary guidelines, and with previously published data from ALSWH cohort (n=606) by
 281 Blumfield *et al.*⁽¹⁷⁾ that was conducted prior to the publication of the revised national dietary
 282 guidelines in 2013. Parallel results were reported in a cohort of pregnant women in NZ⁽¹⁹⁾ and Canada
 283⁽⁵²⁾ where only 3-4% met the recommendations for all food groups. A cohort study of Irish pregnant
 284 women (n=1124) found 76% achieved the food group intake recommendations for fruits and
 285 vegetables, but less than half met the recommendations for the remaining major food groups⁽⁵³⁾.
 286 Optimising dietary patterns internationally should be a major priority to assist women in improving
 287 nutrition in pregnancy.

288

289 A number of studies conducted in Australia^(17,18,54,55), USA⁽⁵⁶⁾ and UK⁽⁵⁷⁾ have reported high intakes
 290 of sodium and saturated fat and inadequate intakes of fibre in pregnant women. This is attributed to
 291 the excessive intakes of energy-dense, nutrient-poor foods and inadequate fruit and vegetable intakes.
 292 Findings from the current cohort of pregnant Indigenous women indicate that their percentage energy
 293 from saturated fat and sodium intake exceed recommendations. Intakes of energy-dense, nutrient-
 294 poor foods were high, with the majority (93.1%; n=54/58) exceeding the target of 2.5 servings/day.
 295 Furthermore, few pregnant women met the recommended daily fruit and vegetable intake. Fruit and
 296 vegetables play an important role in the provision of nutrients for pregnancy, particularly folate and
 297 fibre, but also in reducing chronic disease risk⁽⁵⁸⁾. This has important implications for long-term risk
 298 of heart disease and diabetes in this population.

299

300 From the current study, there are discrepancies between nutrient intakes reported by pregnant
 301 Indigenous Australian women in the Gomeroi gaaynggal cohort and those recommended in the
 302 national guidelines, especially for iron, calcium and folate. Only one woman in this cohort achieved
 303 the NRVs for all key nutrients (folate, iron, calcium, zinc, fibre), similar to results found in the
 304 ALSWH cohort⁽¹⁷⁾. Similar results, based on dietary intake alone, were found in a sample of pregnant
 305 Africa-American women (n=93) where 89% and 66% of subjects had usual intakes below the EAR
 306 for iron and folate respectively⁽⁵⁹⁾. Siega Riz *et al.*, in a sample of pregnant black (n=971) and white
 307 (n=1,131) women, found that 70% of women had inadequate dietary intakes of iron and 40% had
 308 inadequate dietary intakes of folate⁽⁶⁰⁾. Intakes of these key nutrients have also been reported as
 309 suboptimal in pregnant women in cohorts from the United Kingdom^(21,61) and USA^(23,62). Evidence

310 suggests the importance of specific micronutrients, especially folate and iron, during pregnancy in
311 achieving for fetal growth and *in utero* programming of long-term health ⁽⁶³⁾.

312

313 Adequate intakes of folic acid during pregnancy lowers the risk of neural tube defects (NTD) in the
314 offspring, while adequate iron intake prevent maternal iron-deficiency anaemia ⁽⁶³⁾. With the
315 introduction of mandatory folate fortification in wheat flour in Australia in September 2009,
316 improved folate status in Aboriginal people aged 16-44 years and reduced NTD in Aboriginal infants
317 in Western Australia have been observed ⁽⁶⁴⁾. Nationally, the rate of NTDs decreased by 74% for
318 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander (Indigenous) women ⁽⁶⁵⁾. However, even with fortification in
319 place, only 69% of pregnant Indigenous women in the current study met the recommended folate
320 intake. Iron deficiency is the most prevalent micronutrient deficiency among children and women of
321 childbearing age, compounded by the increased requirements for growth and reproduction ⁽⁶⁶⁾. This
322 suggests that further emphasis on the health promotion messages about the importance of obtaining
323 adequate folate and iron during pregnancy are warranted in this community, through food and/or
324 supplementation.

325

326 The diet quality and variety of this cohort of pregnant Indigenous women appears to be suboptimal,
327 as evident from the discrepancies between the recommended and reported nutrient intakes, especially
328 for folate, iron and fibre. Hure *et al.* ⁽²⁸⁾ and Gresham *et al.* ⁽³⁵⁾ found similar results in a large sample
329 of pregnant Australian women participating in the ALSWH. A few studies have examined the
330 association between diet quality during pregnancy and pregnancy and birth outcomes ^(35,67-70). Both
331 Rodriguez-Bernal *et al.* ⁽⁷⁰⁾ and Gresham *et al.* ⁽³⁵⁾ observed a significant increase in newborn birth
332 weight among women with better diet quality during the first trimester compared with infants born
333 to women with lowest diet quality scores. Women with the highest ARFS had the lowest risk of
334 developing gestational hypertension ⁽³⁵⁾. This is cause for concern for the current cohort of pregnant
335 Indigenous women in this study and suggests that improving diet quality is key to preventing adverse
336 pregnancy and birth outcomes.

337

338 ***Strengths and limitations***

339 Strengths of the current study include the methods used to assess micronutrient adequacy using EARs
340 as opposed to the Recommended Dietary Intake (RDI) which overestimates inadequacy. FFQs are
341 reliable, valid and useful instruments to obtain and assess usual dietary intake over time (e.g. months),
342 including during pregnancy ⁽⁷¹⁾ and have less participant burden than methods such as a few days of
343 weighed records ⁽⁷²⁾. Self-reported dietary data, including those from FFQs, have been utilised in the
344 development of dietary guidelines and public health policies ⁽⁷¹⁾. However, several points should be

345 considered when interpreting the results of the current study. Notably, the sample size was relatively
346 small, and the population only comprised of women from one rural town in New South Wales, so the
347 conclusions may not be generalisable to all Indigenous pregnant women. However, the sample was
348 fairly similar to the wider population of Indigenous mothers with respect to mean maternal age and
349 education attainment. As reported previously, measurement error is inevitable when collecting self-
350 reported dietary data ⁽⁷³⁾. Women were asked to report their dietary intakes over the previous 6 months
351 but may over-represent recently consumed foods ⁽⁷⁴⁾. Limitations in participant recall may lead to
352 under- or overestimation of food intakes, however this analysis addressed this by excluding those
353 with implausible total energy intakes. In the current study, we assessed diet at 35.6 ± 2.1 (mean \pm
354 SD) weeks of gestation, with previous research indicating that there is little change in usual dietary
355 patterns throughout pregnancy ⁽⁷⁵⁻⁷⁸⁾. Another limitation was that six Indigenous women were ≤ 18
356 years of age, however, comparisons were made with NRVs recommendations for 19-50 years age
357 range, of which calcium, phosphorus and iron were lower. This suggests the current analysis is the
358 best-case scenario for this cohort of young Indigenous pregnant women.

359

360 It is important to note that the current study examined the adequacy of usual intakes from dietary
361 sources only, not including supplements. Even though almost 52% of pregnant women had been
362 taking vitamin supplements over the past 6 months, we were unable to determine the specific nutrient
363 profile of the supplements consumed and hence unable to assess their contribution to micronutrient
364 intakes. The aim of the current paper was to focus on nutrients obtained from food only and the
365 current analysis provides a useful benchmark for the sub-optimal nutritional adequacy and dietary
366 intakes of pregnant Indigenous Australian women in this cohort. This data will enhance our
367 knowledge of where to target nutrition interventions in consultation with the community in order to
368 address the current gaps.

369

370 ***Implications for practice and research***

371 The findings from the current study suggest a need for greater support to optimise dietary intakes
372 with an emphasis on promoting optimal maternal nutrition during pregnancy among Indigenous
373 Australian women. Results from a recent systematic review indicated that over 20 nutrition
374 intervention programs internationally have sought to improve nutrition-related outcomes for pregnant
375 Indigenous women, of which only five were set in Australia (Northern Territory, New South Wales,
376 Queensland, and Western Australia) ⁽⁷⁹⁾. However, many of them had broad nutrition promotion
377 messages rather than targeting key food and nutrient components. Hence, there is a need for more
378 culturally relevant dietary interventions which focus on optimising diet quality in pregnant
379 Indigenous Australian women. Successful prenatal nutrition interventions with positive nutrition-

related outcomes need to be tailored to the specific sociodemographic determinants of prenatal dietary patterns and are urgently needed ⁽⁷⁹⁾. The inclusion of community and Indigenous workers and collaboration with dietitians are essential components for success. Additionally, adapting a strengths-based approach to nutrition research and intervention has proven to be successful in international nutrition projects conducted in Indigenous communities ^(80,81).

Conclusion

The current study indicates that the dietary intakes of pregnant Indigenous Australian women from the Gomeroi gaaynggal cohort do not meet current national recommendations for pregnancy. In view of these findings, there is an urgent need to develop and implement culturally relevant programmes and public health policies aimed at improving the nutritional status of pregnant Indigenous women. More support is needed to raise awareness of the dietary guidelines and to assist pregnant Indigenous women in optimising dietary patterns and nutrient intakes. In order to have successful outcomes, these programs and policies should adopt a strengths-based approach when implementing in Indigenous Australian communities, partnering and drawing on the strengths of Indigenous families and communities.

Transparency declaration

A leader author affirms that this manuscript is an honest, accurate and transparent account of the study being reported, that no important aspects of the study have been omitted. The reporting of this work is compliant with STROBE guidelines.

References

1. Barker DJ (1995) Intrauterine programming of adult disease. *Mol Med Today* **1**, 418-423.
2. Barker DJ (2004) Developmental origins of adult health and disease. *J Epidemiol Community Health* **58**, 114-115.
3. Harding JE (2001) The nutritional basis of the fetal origins of adult disease. *Int J Epidemiol* **30**, 15-23.
4. Gluckman PD, Hanson MA, Pinal C (2005) The developmental origins of adult disease. *Matern Child Nutr* **1**, 130-141.
5. Hales CN, Barker DJ (2001) The thrifty phenotype hypothesis. *Br Med Bull* **60**, 5-20.
6. Kramer MS (1987) Determinants of low birth weight: methodological assessment and meta-analysis. *Bull World Health Organ* **65**, 663-737.
7. Kramer MS (2003) The epidemiology of adverse pregnancy outcomes: an overview. *J Nutr* **133**, 1592s-1596s.
8. Allen LH (2005) Multiple micronutrients in pregnancy and lactation: an overview. *Am J Clin Nutr* **81**, 1206s-1212s.
9. Lagiou P, Tamimi RM, Mucci LA *et al.* (2004) Diet during pregnancy in relation to maternal weight gain and birth size. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **58**, 231-237.

- 419 10. Zhang C, Liu S, Solomon CG *et al.* (2006) Dietary fiber intake, dietary glycemic load, and the
420 risk for gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* **29**, 2223-2230.
- 421 11. Mahomed K (2000) Iron and folate supplementation in pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*,
422 Cd001135.
- 423 12. Peters RM, Flack JM (2004) Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal*
424 *Nurs* **33**, 209-220.
- 425 13. Brantsaeter AL, Haugen M, Samuelsen SO *et al.* (2009) A dietary pattern characterized by high
426 intake of vegetables, fruits, and vegetable oils is associated with reduced risk of preeclampsia in
427 nulliparous pregnant Norwegian women. *J Nutr* **139**, 1162-1168.
- 428 14. Thompson JM, Wall C, Becroft DM *et al.* (2010) Maternal dietary patterns in pregnancy and the
429 association with small-for-gestational-age infants. *Br J Nutr* **103**, 1665-1673.
- 430 15. Bhutta ZA, Darmstadt GL, Hasan BS *et al.* (2005) Community-based interventions for improving
431 perinatal and neonatal health outcomes in developing countries: a review of the evidence. *Pediatrics*
432 **115**, 519-617.
- 433 16. Malek L, Umberger W, Makrides M *et al.* (2016) Adherence to the Australian dietary guidelines
434 during pregnancy: evidence from a national study. *Public Health Nutr* **19**, 1155-1163.
- 435 17. Blumfield ML, Hure AJ, Macdonald-Wicks LK *et al.* (2011) Disparities exist between National
436 food group recommendations and the dietary intakes of women. *BMC Womens Health* **11**, 37.
- 437 18. Wen LM, Flood VM, Simpson JM *et al.* (2010) Dietary behaviours during pregnancy: findings
438 from first-time mothers in southwest Sydney, Australia. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* **7**, 13.
- 439 19. Morton SM, Grant CC, Wall CR *et al.* (2014) Adherence to nutritional guidelines in pregnancy:
440 evidence from the Growing Up in New Zealand birth cohort study. *Public Health Nutr* **17**, 1919-
441 1929.
- 442 20. Crozier SR, Robinson SM, Borland SE *et al.* (2009) Do women change their health behaviours
443 in pregnancy? Findings from the Southampton Women's Survey. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* **23**,
444 446-453.
- 445 21. Mouratidou T, Ford F, Prountzou F *et al.* (2006) Dietary assessment of a population of pregnant
446 women in Sheffield, UK. *Br J Nutr* **96**, 929-935.
- 447 22. Bodnar LM, Siega-Riz AM (2002) A Diet Quality Index for Pregnancy detects variation in diet
448 and differences by sociodemographic factors. *Public Health Nutr* **5**, 801-809.
- 449 23. Pick ME, Edwards M, Moreau D *et al.* (2005) Assessment of diet quality in pregnant women
450 using the Healthy Eating Index. *J Am Diet Assoc* **105**, 240-246.
- 451 24. Dubois L, Diasparra M, Bedard B *et al.* (2017) Adequacy of nutritional intake from food and
452 supplements in a cohort of pregnant women in Quebec, Canada: the 3D Cohort Study (Design,
453 Develop, Discover). *Am J Clin Nutr*.
- 454 25. Yang J, Dang S, Cheng Y *et al.* (2017) Dietary intakes and dietary patterns among pregnant
455 women in Northwest China. *Public Health Nutr* **20**, 282-293.
- 456 26. Blumfield ML, Hure AJ, Macdonald-Wicks L *et al.* (2013) A systematic review and meta-analysis
457 of micronutrient intakes during pregnancy in developed countries. *Nutr Rev* **71**, 118-132.
- 458 27. Blumfield ML, Hure AJ, Macdonald-Wicks L *et al.* (2012) Systematic review and meta-analysis
459 of energy and macronutrient intakes during pregnancy in developed countries. *Nutr Rev* **70**, 322-336.
- 460 28. Hure A, Young A, Smith R *et al.* (2009) Diet and pregnancy status in Australian women. *Public*
461 *Health Nutr* **12**, 853-861.
- 462 29. Blumfield M, Hure A, MacDonald-Wicks L *et al.* (2012) The association between the
463 macronutrient content of maternal diet and the adequacy of micronutrients during pregnancy in the
464 Women and Their Children's Health (WATCH) study. *Nutrients* **4**, 1958-1976.
- 465 30. Collins CE, Burrows TL, Rollo ME *et al.* (2015) The comparative validity and reproducibility of
466 a diet quality index for adults: the Australian Recommended Food Score. *Nutrients* **7**, 785-798.
- 467 31. Bodnar LM, Simhan HN, Parker CB *et al.* (2017) Racial or Ethnic and Socioeconomic
468 Inequalities in Adherence to National Dietary Guidance in a Large Cohort of US Pregnant Women.
469 *J Acad Nutr Diet* **117**, 867-877.e863.

32. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare *The health and welfare of Australia's Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples 2015*. Canberra: AIHW.
33. Australian Bureau of Statistics (2014) Australian Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Survey: Nutrition Results - Food and Nutrients, 2012-13. <http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/mf/4727.0.55.005>
34. Whalan S, Farnbach S, Volk L *et al.* (2017) What do we know about the diets of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in Australia? A systematic literature review. *Aust N Z J Public Health* **41**, 579-584.
35. Gresham E, Collins CE, Mishra GD *et al.* (2016) Diet quality before or during pregnancy and the relationship with pregnancy and birth outcomes: the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health. *Public Health Nutr* **19**, 2975-2983.
36. Meinila J, Valkama A, Koivusalo SB *et al.* (2017) Association between diet quality measured by the Healthy Food Intake Index and later risk of gestational diabetes-a secondary analysis of the RADIEL trial. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **71**, 555-557.
37. Martin CL, Sotres-Alvarez D, Siega-Riz AM (2015) Maternal Dietary Patterns during the Second Trimester Are Associated with Preterm Birth. *J Nutr* **145**, 1857-1864.
38. Godfrey K, Robinson S (1998) Maternal nutrition, placental growth and fetal programming. *Proc Nutr Soc* **57**, 105-111.
39. Ashman AM, Collins CE, Weatherall L *et al.* (2016) A cohort of Indigenous Australian women and their children through pregnancy and beyond: the Gomeri gaaynggal study. *J Dev Orig Health Dis* **7**, 357-368.
40. World Health Organisation (2016) Global Strategy on Diet, Physical Activity and Health. *What is overweight and obesity?* http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/childhood_what/en/
41. Collins CE, Boggess MM, Watson JF *et al.* (2014) Reproducibility and comparative validity of a food frequency questionnaire for Australian adults. *Clin Nutr* **33**, 906-914.
42. Australian Bureau of Statistics (1998) *National Nutrition Survey: Nutrient Intakes and Physical Measurements*. no. 4805.0. Australian Bureau of Statistics: Canberra, Australia.
43. National Health and Medical Research Council (2013) Australian Dietary Guidelines. Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia
44. National Health and Medical Research Council (2013) Australian Guide to Healthy Eating: Canberra: NHMRC.
45. National Health and Medical Research Council (1991) Recommended Dietary Intakes for Use in Australia: Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.
46. National Health and Medical Research Council (2006) Nutrient Reference Values for Australia and New Zealand including Recommended Dietary Intakes. Canberra: Australia Government Publishing Service.
47. Meltzer HM, Brantsaeter AL, Ydersbond TA *et al.* (2008) Methodological challenges when monitoring the diet of pregnant women in a large study: experiences from the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa). *Matern Child Nutr* **4**, 14-27.
48. Australian Bureau of Statistics (2016) National Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Social Survey, 2014-15. <http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/mf/4714.0/>
49. Uusitalo U, Arkkola T, Ovaskainen ML *et al.* (2009) Unhealthy dietary patterns are associated with weight gain during pregnancy among Finnish women. *Public Health Nutr* **12**, 2392-2399.
50. von Ruesten A, Brantsaeter AL, Haugen M *et al.* (2014) Adherence of pregnant women to Nordic dietary guidelines in relation to postpartum weight retention: results from the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study. *BMC Public Health* **14**, 75.
51. Ashman AM, Collins CE, Weatherall LJ *et al.* (2016) Dietary intakes and anthropometric measures of Indigenous Australian women and their infants in the Gomeri gaaynggal cohort. *J Dev Orig Health Dis* **7**, 481-497.
52. Fowler JK, Evers SE, Campbell MK (2012) Inadequate dietary intakes among pregnant women. *Can J Diet Pract Res* **73**, 72-77.

521 53. Murrin C, Fallon UB, Hannon F *et al.* (2007) Dietary habits of pregnant women in Ireland. *Ir*
522 *Med J* **100**, suppl 12-15.

523 54. De Jersey SJ, Ross LJ, Himstedt K *et al.* (2011) Weight gain and nutritional intake in obese
524 pregnant women: Some clues for intervention. *Nutrition & Dietetics* **68**, 53-59.

525 55. Wilkinson SA, Miller YD, Watson B (2009) Prevalence of health behaviours in pregnancy at
526 service entry in a Queensland health service district. *Aust N Z J Public Health* **33**, 228-233.

527 56. Giddens JB, Krug SK, Tsang RC *et al.* (2000) Pregnant adolescent and adult women have
528 similarly low intakes of selected nutrients. *J Am Diet Assoc* **100**, 1334-1340.

529 57. Derbyshire E, Davies GJ, Costarelli V *et al.* (2009) Habitual micronutrient intake during and after
530 pregnancy in Caucasian Londoners. *Matern Child Nutr* **5**, 1-9.

531 58. Dauchet L, Amouyel P, Dallongeville J (2009) Fruits, vegetables and coronary heart disease. *Nat*
532 *Rev Cardiol* **6**, 599-608.

533 59. Groth SW, Stewart PA, Ossip DJ *et al.* (2017) Micronutrient Intake Is Inadequate for a Sample
534 of Pregnant African-American Women. *J Acad Nutr Diet* **117**, 589-598.

535 60. Siega-Riz AM, Bodnar LM, Savitz DA (2002) What are pregnant women eating? Nutrient and
536 food group differences by race. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* **186**, 480-486.

537 61. Rogers I, Emmett P, Baker D *et al.* (1998) Financial difficulties, smoking habits, composition of
538 the diet and birthweight in a population of pregnant women in the South West of England. ALSPAC
539 Study Team. Avon Longitudinal Study of Pregnancy and Childhood. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **52**, 251-260.

540 62. Brunst KJ, Wright RO, DiGioia K *et al.* (2014) Racial/ethnic and sociodemographic factors
541 associated with micronutrient intakes and inadequacies among pregnant women in an urban US
542 population. *Public Health Nutr* **17**, 1960-1970.

543 63. World Health Organization (2012) Daily iron and folic acid supplementation in pregnant women.
544 Geneva: World Health Organization.

545 64. Bower C, Maxwell S, Hickling S *et al.* (2016) Folate status in Aboriginal people before and after
546 mandatory fortification of flour for bread-making in Australia. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol* **56**, 233-
547 237.

548 65. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (2016) *Monitoring the health impacts of mandatory*
549 *folic acid and iodine fortification. Cat. no. PHE 208.* Canberra: AIHW.

550 66. Looker AC, Dallman PR, Carroll MD *et al.* (1997) Prevalence of iron deficiency in the United
551 States. *Jama* **277**, 973-976.

552 67. Rifas-Shiman SL, Rich-Edwards JW, Kleinman KP *et al.* (2009) Dietary quality during pregnancy
553 varies by maternal characteristics in Project Viva: a US cohort. *J Am Diet Assoc* **109**, 1004-1011.

554 68. Carmichael SL, Shaw GM, Selvin S *et al.* (2003) Diet quality and risk of neural tube defects. *Med*
555 *Hypotheses* **60**, 351-355.

556 69. Philipps C, Johnson NE (1977) The impact of quality of diet and other factors on birth weight of
557 infants. *Am J Clin Nutr* **30**, 215-225.

558 70. Rodriguez-Bernal CL, Rebagliato M, Iniguez C *et al.* (2010) Diet quality in early pregnancy and
559 its effects on fetal growth outcomes: the Infancia y Medio Ambiente (Childhood and Environment)
560 Mother and Child Cohort Study in Spain. *Am J Clin Nutr* **91**, 1659-1666.

561 71. Bingham SA, Gill C, Welch A *et al.* (1994) Comparison of dietary assessment methods in
562 nutritional epidemiology: weighed records v. 24 h recalls, food-frequency questionnaires and
563 estimated-diet records. *Br J Nutr* **72**, 619-643.

564 72. Nelson M, Black AE, Morris JA *et al.* (1989) Between- and within-subject variation in nutrient
565 intake from infancy to old age: estimating the number of days required to rank dietary intakes with
566 desired precision. *Am J Clin Nutr* **50**, 155-167.

567 73. Subar AF, Freedman LS, Tooze JA *et al.* (2015) Addressing Current Criticism Regarding the
568 Value of Self-Report Dietary Data. *J Nutr* **145**, 2639-2645.

569 74. Hu FB, Rimm E, Smith-Warner SA *et al.* (1999) Reproducibility and validity of dietary patterns
570 assessed with a food-frequency questionnaire. *Am J Clin Nutr* **69**, 243-249.

571 75. Blumfield ML, Hure AJ, MacDonald-Wicks LK *et al.* (2012) Dietary balance during pregnancy
572 is associated with fetal adiposity and fat distribution. *Am J Clin Nutr* **96**, 1032-1041.

- 573 76. Crozier SR, Robinson SM, Godfrey KM *et al.* (2009) Women's dietary patterns change little from
574 before to during pregnancy. *J Nutr* **139**, 1956-1963.
- 575 77. Cuco G, Fernandez-Ballart J, Sala J *et al.* (2006) Dietary patterns and associated lifestyles in
576 preconception, pregnancy and postpartum. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **60**, 364-371.
- 577 78. Rifas-Shiman SL, Rich-Edwards JW, Willett WC *et al.* (2006) Changes in dietary intake from the
578 first to the second trimester of pregnancy. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* **20**, 35-42.
- 579 79. Ashman AM, Brown LJ, Collins CE *et al.* (2017) Factors Associated with Effective Nutrition
580 Interventions for Pregnant Indigenous Women: A Systematic Review. *J Acad Nutr Diet* **117**, 1222-
581 1253.e1222.
- 582 80. Brough. M, Bond. C, Hunt J (2004) Strong in the city: Towards a strength-based approach in
583 Indigenous health promotion. *Health Promotion Journal of Australia* **15**, 215-220.
- 584 81. Foley W, Schubert L (2013) Applying strengths-based approaches to nutrition research and
585 interventions in Indigenous Australian communities. *Journal of Critical Dietetics* **1**, 15-25.

586

Table 1. The Australian Guide to Healthy Eating daily food group recommendations for pregnant women¹

| | Energy (kJ) | Breads & Cereals | Fruit | Vegetables | Dairy | Meat & Alternatives | Extras |
|--|-------------|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| Standard serving size² | n/a | 1 slice bread ½ medium roll or flat bread ½ cup cooked rice, pasta, noodles, barley ½ cup cooked porridge 2/3 cup wheat cereal flakes ¼ cup muesli | 1 medium apple 2 small pieces of fresh fruit (apricots, kiwi fruit, plums) ½ cup fruit juice 1 cup canned fruit | ½ cup cooked vegetables 1 cup of salad vegetables ½ cup cooked legumes ½ medium potato or other starchy vegetables 1 medium tomato | 1 cup (250ml) of milk 2 slices (40g) of cheese ¾ cup (200g) of yoghurt | 65g cooked lean meats such as beef, lamb, pork 80g cooked lean poultry 100g cooked fish fillet 2 large eggs 1 cup cooked legumes/beans 30g nuts, seeds, peanut | 1/2 small bar (25 g) chocolate 2 scoops (75g) regular ice cream 30g salty crackers (a small individual serve packet) 2-3 sweet biscuits 1 slice (40 g) plain cake or small cake-type muffin 1 can (375 mL) soft drink |
| 18 Years or under | 8100-10900 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0-2.5 |
| 19-50 years | 8100-10900 | 8.5 | 2 | 5 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 0-2.5 |

¹Food group data is reported as the recommended range for number of serves per day defined by the Australian Guide to Healthy Eating food group recommendations for pregnant women.

²Serve size

(a) Breads & Cereals: bread 40 g, cereal 30 g, cooked porridge 120 g, muesli 30 g, cooked rice/pasta/noodles (including lasagne) 75-120 g, dry biscuits 40 g

(b) Fruit: fruit whole (including canned fruit) 150 g, fruit juice 125 ml

(c) Vegetables: vegetable whole (including potatoes cooked without fat) 75 g

(d) Dairy: milk 250 ml, cheese 40 g, yoghurt 200 g, flavoured milk 250 ml

(e) Meat & Alternatives: beef/veal/chicken/lamb/pork 65 g, fish (steamed/grilled/baked/canned) 100 g, ham 100 g, baked beans/tofu/soy beans/soy bean curd/other beans (including chickpeas, lentils etc) 150g, nuts 30 g, eggs 120 g

(f) Extras: sweet biscuit 35 g, cakes/sweet pies/tarts/other sweet pastries 40 g, meat pies/pasties/quiche/other savoury pies 60 g, pizza 60 g, hamburger 60 g, chocolate 25 g, peanut butter 25 g, potato crisps/corn chips/Twisties® 30 g, jam/marmalade/honey/syrups 45 g, Vegemite®/Marmite®/Promite® 100 g, ice-cream 50 g, bacon 50 g, corned beef/luncheon meats/salami 110 g, sausages/frankfurters 55 g, fried fish 65 g, fat spread 20 g, sugar 40 g, fries 60 g, light beer 600 ml, heavy beer 400 ml, wine (including sparkling wines) 200 ml, spirits/liqueurs 60 ml, fortified wines/port/sherry 60 ml.

Table 2. Sociodemographic characteristics of Indigenous pregnant women in the *Gomeroi gaaynggal* cohort (n=58)

| Variables | Mean \pm SD or n (%) |
|---|------------------------|
| Age, y, mean | 25.6 \pm 6.7 |
| Pre-pregnancy BMI status (n=51) | |
| Underweight (<18.5 kg/m ²) | 4 (7.8) |
| Normal weight (18.5-24.99 kg/m ²) | 16 (31.4) |
| Overweight (25-29.99 kg/m ²) | 12 (23.5) |
| Obese (\geq 30 kg/m ²) | 19 (37.3) |
| Education Level (n=53) | |
| High school or lower | 41 (77.4) |
| Beyond high school | 12 (22.64) |
| Smoking status during pregnancy (n=58) | |
| Current smoker | 14 (24.1) |
| Non-smoker | 44 (75.9) |
| Employment status (n=52) | |
| Employed | 15 (28.8) |
| Not employed | 37 (71.2) |

SD: Standard deviation **BMI:** Body Mass Index

Table 3. Median daily food group consumption and percentage of pregnant Indigenous women in the *Gomeri gaaynggal* cohort (n=58) achieving daily food group recommendations for pregnant women

| Food Group Intakes | Median | IQR |
|---|---------------|--------------|
| Breads/cereals (g/d) | 117.7 | 82.8-177.0 |
| Fruit (g/d) | 199.4 | 76.6-313.9 |
| Vegetables (g/d) | 253.5 | 159.4-384.3 |
| Dairy (g/d) | 268.2 | 194.3-572.1 |
| Meat/alternatives (g/d) | 182.9 | 128.3-264.8 |
| Extras (g/d) | 1063.7 | 741.8-1544.5 |
| Food Group Servings | Median | IQR |
| Breads/cereals (servings/d) | 2.3 | 1.3-3.1 |
| Fruit (servings/d) | 1.4 | 0.5-2.2 |
| Vegetables (servings/d) | 3.4 | 2.1-5.1 |
| Dairy (servings/d) | 1.5 | 1.0-2.9 |
| Meat/alternatives (servings/d) | 2.7 | 1.8-3.6 |
| Extras (servings/d) | 6.1 | 4.1-8.3 |
| Meeting Recommendations ¹ | n | % |
| Breads/cereals | 2 | 3.5 |
| Fruit | 16 | 27.6 |
| Vegetables | 17 | 29.3 |
| Dairy | 19 | 32.8 |
| Meat/alternatives | 18 | 31.0 |
| Extras | 4 | 6.9 |
| Other | Median | IQR |
| Core foods ² (kJ) | 5434 | 4512-7004 |
| Core foods (% Energy) ^{2,3} | 59 | 51-66 |
| Non-core foods ⁴ (kJ) | 3694.5 | 2527-5040 |
| Non-core foods (% Energy) ^{3,4} | 41 | 34-49 |

IQR: Interquartile range. ¹ Defined by the Australian Guide to Healthy Eating food group recommendations for pregnant women. ² Core food includes breads and cereals, fruit, vegetables, dairy and alternatives, meat and alternatives. ³ Calculated as a percentage of total daily energy intake. ⁴ Non-core foods include soft drinks, sweets and energy-dense nutrient-poor foods.

Table 4. Daily dietary intakes of selected nutrients in pregnant Indigenous women from the *Gomeroi gaaynggal* cohort (n=58)

| Meeting | EAR | Median | IQR | Meeting EAR or AI n (%) |
|--|---------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------------------|
| Energy (kJ/day) | | | | |
| With dietary fibre | - | 8705 | 7196-11385 | - |
| Without dietary fibre | - | 8522 | 7016-11193 | - |
| Protein (g) | 47(≤18 y) 49 | 93.6 | 73.0-123.6 | 56 (96.6) |
| Fibre (g) | 25* (≤18 y) 28* | 24.5 | 18.2-30.1 | 22 (37.9) |
| Thiamin (mg) | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.3-2.1 | 45 (77.6) |
| Riboflavin (mg) | 1.2 | 2.3 | 1.8-3.0 | 57 (98.3) |
| Niacin equivalents ¹ (mg) | 14 | 40.0 | 32.5-51.6 | 58 (100) |
| Vitamin C (mg) | 38 (≤18 y) 40 | 200.8 | 132.3-290.2 | 57 (98.3) |
| Dietary folate equivalents ² (µg) | 520 | 593.8 | 498.2-744.8 | 40 (68.9) |
| Retinol equivalents ³ (µg) | 530 (≤18 y) 550 | 1038.1 | 693.3-1418.2 | 49 (84.5) |
| Potassium (mg) | 2800 * | 3571.6 | 2802.5-4367.9 | 44 (75.9) |
| Magnesium (mg) | 290 + | 373.9 | 311.9-421.1 | 46 (79.3) |
| Calcium (mg) | 1050 (≤18 y) 840 | 866.7 | 628.7-1141.1 | 31 (53.5) |
| Phosphorus (mg) | 1055 (≤18 y) 580 | 1480.9 | 1185.9-1883.9 | 57 (98.3) |
| Iron (mg) | 23 (≤18 y) 22 | 10.8 | 8.2-13.0 | 1 (1.72) |
| Zinc (mg) | 8.5 (≤18 y) 9.0 | 12.3 | 9.2-15.6 | 45 (77.6) |
| Exceeding | Upper Limit | Median | IQR | Exceeding Upper Limit n (%) |
| Sodium (mg) | 460-920* | 1995.9 | 1567.2-2458.5 | 57 (98.3) ⁴ |
| %E Saturated fat | 10% ⁵ | 15 | 13-17 | 56 (96.6) |

EAR: Estimated Average Requirement. **AI:** Adequate Intake. **IQR:** Interquartile range. Star (*) denotes adequate intake. *Magnesium recommendations are for pregnant women aged 19-30 years. The recommendation for pregnant women aged 31-50 years is EAR: 300mg/day.

¹ Niacin intakes and requirements are expressed as niacin equivalents: 1 mg niacin equivalent = 1mg niacin/60 mg tryptophan. Niacin + Niacin derived from tryptophan.

² 1 µg dietary folate equivalent = 1 µg food folate = 0.5 µg folic acid on an empty stomach = 0.6 µg folic acid with meals or as fortified foods.

³ Retinol + (Beta-carotene/6) + (alpha-carotene/12) + (cryptoxanthin/12).

⁴ Comparison made relative to the upper end level of sodium AI.

⁵ For chronic disease risk reduction for general population.

Table 5. Australian Recommended Food Score (ARFS) for diet quality of pregnant Indigenous women in the *Gomeroi gaaynggal* cohort (n=58)

| ARFS (max possible score) | Median | IQR |
|-----------------------------|--------|-------|
| Total (73) | 28 | 21-36 |
| Vegetables (21) | 12 | 7-16 |
| Fruit (12) | 4 | 3-6 |
| Meat (7) | 2 | 1-3 |
| Meat alt. (6) | 1 | 0-2 |
| Grains (13) | 4 | 2-4 |
| Dairy (11) | 4 | 3-5 |
| Water (1) | 1 | 0-1 |
| Condiments (2) ¹ | 1 | 1-2 |

¹ Condiments include vegemite and tomato sauce for their nutritional properties.